



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,642	05/27/2005	David N. Roundhill	US020471US	4312

24737 7590 01/22/2009

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS

P.O. BOX 3001

BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

BEKELE, MEKONEN T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/22/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/536,642

Applicant(s)

ROUNDHILL ET AL.

Examiner

MEKONEN BEKELE

Art Unit

2624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 November 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 May 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-19 are pending in this application.

Priority

2. Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C 119(e) is Acknowledge based on the Provisional Application Serial No. 60/430,226, filed on December 2, 2002

Drawings

3. The Drawings filed on 05/27/2005 are accepted for examination

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information discourses statements filed on 05/27/2005 in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, and have been considered and copies are enclosed with this Office Action.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 02/21/2008 with respect to claim 1-19 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, for examiner's response, see discussion below.

a) At page 6, regarding claim 1, applicants argue that while Sumanaweera discloses a Doppler processor 18 that detects Doppler data representing fluid flow, there is no disclosure of an image or its being surveyed as specifically recited in claim 1.

As to the above argument [a] examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant because of the following reasons:

i). Sumanaweera teaches ultrasound data is stored as image data combined from B-mode and Doppler data (col. 4 lines 3-5). Further, Sumanaweera teaches the acquired data comprises combined data or separate data. Combined data includes tissue or flow data for each or a subset of the pixels associated with an image or a region of the patient. For example, B-mode and Doppler data are provided for each spatial location or pixel associated with a part of a DICOM or ultrasound image. As another example, one of B-mode or Doppler data is provided for each pixel or location within a scanned region of the patient (col.4 lines 20-25). Still further Sumanaweera teaches B-mode data is separated from Doppler data. First, the composite data (B-mode data and Doppler data) is checked to determine whether the color Doppler image data included in the composite image is representative of Doppler velocity or Doppler energy. In this example, different algorithms are used to extract B-mode image data for these two different possibilities (col.4 lines 50-55).

ii). In addition, Sumanaweera teaches a display comprises a flat panel, LCD or monitor for displaying an image. Displayed image includes tissue data, fluid data or combinations thereof. Detected borders and/or an associated calculation are also displayed (col.3 lines 62-65).

Therefore, as best understood by the examiner the Doppler processor 18 that detects Doppler data representing fluid flow, there is disclosure of an image.

b) At page 6, regarding claim 12, applicants argue that while Doppler data representing fluid flow are detected by Doppler processor 18, there is no image and therefore no collecting of motion data from an image; rather the Doppler processor detects frequency shifts associated with the Doppler effect of moving fluid. There is no image garnered in this process

As to the above argument [b], examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant because of the following reasons:

i). *As discussed above* Sumanaweera teaches Doppler data representing fluid flow are detected by Doppler processor 18, there is image.

ii). Sumanaweera teaches B-mode data is separated from Doppler data. First, the composite data (B-mode data and Doppler data) is checked to determine whether the color Doppler image data included in the composite image is representative of Doppler velocity or Doppler energy. In this example, different algorithms are used to extract B-mode image data for these two different possibilities (col.4 lines 50-55).

Therefore, as best understood by the examiner the Doppler processor 18, there is an image and therefore collecting of motion data from the image.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
(c) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. *Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Sumanaweera, US Patent No. 6475149 B1, published on Nov. 2, 2002.*

As to claim 1, Sumanaweera teaches A method of capturing an image using an ultrasound system (**Fig. 1, col.1 lines 15-16, A vessel border detection method and system**

using an ultrasound system. The detected borders are displayed on the DISPLAY 26. Capturing an image corresponds to detecting and displaying the Vessel border, and the image corresponds to the vessel border), comprising:

surveying the image to collect motion data (Fig.1, co1.2 line 25-26, co1.3 lines 23-24, the Doppler processor 18 detects a Doppler data that representing flowing fluid of the Vessel border. Thus, surveying the image to collect motion data corresponds to use Doppler processor to detect and store Doppler data in a memory 24, the motion data corresponds to Doppler data);

analyzing the motion data to identify a flow in the image (co1.3. lines15-18 and 22-25, a B-mode processor detects tissue data of the vessel border while a Doppler processor 18 detects Doppler data representing flowing data of the vessel border. Analyzing the motion data to identify a flow in the image corresponds to use B-mode processor and Doppler processor to identify the flow and non flow data of the vessel border);

scanning a limited region of the image containing the flow with a flow imaging technique (co1.3 lines 23-25, lines 51-55, the Doppler processor 18 detects Doppler data representing flowing fluid (col3. lines 23-25) of the vessel (see Fig.3), and the scan converter formats the Doppler data for display or storage (col3. lines 51-55). The limited region of the image containing the flow corresponds to the vessel, the flow imaging technique corresponds to the Doppler data processing technique, scanning corresponds to acquiring Doppler data to display).

As to claim 2, Sumanaweera teaches surveying step comprises the step of collecting a sample of color flow data (col. 4 lines 51-55, the composite data is checked to determine

whether the color Doppler image data included in the composite image. The sample of color flow data corresponds to the color Doppler image data).

As to claim 3, Sumanaweera teaches surveying step comprises the step of collecting contour data (Fig.1, co1.2 line 25-26, co1.3 lines 23-24, **the Doppler processor 18 detects a Doppler data that representing flowing fluid of the vessel border. Thus, collecting contour data corresponds to use Doppler processor to detect and store Doppler data in a memory 24, the contour data corresponds to the Doppler data, and the contour corresponds to the vessel border).**

As to claim 4, Sumanaweera teaches the analyzing step generates a motion map that identifies flow and non-flow regions (Fig. 3, co1.3 lines 17-19 and 23-25, **the Doppler processor 18 detects Doppler data representing flowing fluid (col3. lines 23-25) of the vessel (see Fig.3 element 48), while a B-mode processor 16 detect tissue data (Fig. 3 element 50). Both Doppler data and tissue data are plotted and analyzed using a curve fitting algorithm (co1.5 lines 18-20). Generates a motion map that identifies flow and non-flow regions corresponds to use the curve fitting algorithm to plot both B Mode data and the Doppler data, the flow and non-flow regions corresponds to border 48 and 50 of Fig.3 respectively).**

As to claim 5, Sumanaweera teaches the flow imaging technique includes a technique selected from the group consisting of: color flow (col. 4 line 52: **color Doppler image that representative of Doppler velocity**), time domain correlation (col. 3 lines 30-32, **the velocity, energy, power of a fluid is correlated with time**), speckle tracking(**detecting vessels**

border), strain imaging (co1.5 line 64, imaging vessel or imaging interior portion of a patient see abstract), pulse wave Doppler, and continuous wave Doppler (co1.4 line 53 Doppler velocity corresponds to continuous wave Doppler).

As to claim 6, Sumanaweera teaches the flow is associated with a valve in a heart (**col. 1 lines 55-54, Borders for other fluid regions in a patient may be detected, such as a heart border or other organ borders**).

As to claim 7, Sumanaweera teaches the flow indicates a blood vessel (**co. 2 lines 33-34**).

As to claim 8, Sumanaweera teaches the scanning step uses multi-line beamforming (**Fig. 1 element 14, co1.3 lines 6-8**).

As to claim 9, Sumanaweera teaches the flow is periodically tracked (**col.3 lines 7-18, the analog or digital circuits of beamforme generates a periodic waveforms based on the sampling rate of the converter. Thus the transmitted wave form signal is a periodic signal with predetermined period**) and the limited region of the image containing the flow is automatically adjusted (**Fig. 3, col. 5 lines 29-38, the borders 48, 58 of the vessel 44 are detected automatically by the processor 22. The region of the image containing the flow corresponds to the Vessel borders 48, 58**).

As to claim 10, Sumanaweera teaches the limited region for acquisition (**col. 5 line 63-64, imaging vessels of an organ, such as the brachial artery, the limited region**

corresponds to the vessels) is a region selected from the group consisting of a 3D pie slice, a cube, an arbitrary shape (**col. 5 line 62-63, heart, brachial artery**), and a collection of shapes (**col. 5 lines 65-66, heart or heart or other fluid filled or fluid surrounded organs**).

As to claim 11, Sumanaweera teaches the scanning step includes adjusting a set of acquisition parameters (**col. 5 lines 38-35, the method includes different embodiment for example in one embodiment a center of gravity of Doppler data is identified as an approximate center of the vessel or organ**). Adjusting a set of acquisition parameters **correspond to approximate center of the vessel or organ**) selected from the group consisting of b-mode line densities (**col. 3 lines 17-18, B- mode may be compressed or filtered**). Adjusting a set of acquisition parameters **corresponds to compressing or filtering**), color flow line densities (**col. 4 line 51-52, the color Doppler**), pulse repetition frequency (**col. 3 lines 6- 18, the beamformer for generating a transmit wave, and output a radio frequency data**). Adjusting a set of acquisition parameters **corresponds to transform the signal into a radio frequency data**, and the pulse repetition frequency **corresponds to the radio frequency**), and ensemble length.

As to claim 12, Sumanaweera teaches an ultrasound system (**Fig. 1, col.2 lines 15-16, A Vessel border detection method and system using an ultrasound system**) comprising:
a survey system for collecting motion data from a target image (**Fig.1, co1.2 line 25-26, co1.3 lines 23-24, the system includes the Doppler processor 18 detects a Doppler data that representing flowing fluid of a Vessel border or organ. These data are analyzed and displayed on the Display 26. The motion data corresponds to Doppler data, the target image corresponds to the Vessel border or organ**);

a segmentation system (Fig. 3, co1.3 lines 17-19 and 23-25, A system that separate the flowing fluid data region and tissue data region using Doppler processor18 and **B- mode processor 16 respectively**. Segmentation **corresponds separation fluid data region and tissue data region**) for mapping a region of flow within the image based on the motion data (col. 5 lines 10-12 and 55-60, **the Doppler data that representing flowing fluid can be plotted using predetermined curve fitting algorithms**);

a flow acquisition system that automatically limits the collection of flow image data within the image to the region of flow (Fig. 3, col. 5 lines 29-30, **the borders 48, 50 of the vessel 44 are detected automatically by the processor 22**. The flow acquisition system **corresponds to the processor 22**, the region of flow **corresponds to Vessel borders 48, 50**, and the collection of flow image data **corresponds to the Doppler data**).

As to claim 13, Sumanaweera teaches the motion data comprises color flow data (col. 4 lines 51-55, **the composite data is checked to determine whether the color Doppler image data included in the composite image**. The sample of color flow data **corresponds to the color Doppler image data**).

As to claim 14, Sumanaweera teaches the motion data comprises contour data (Fig.1, co1.2 line 25-26, co1.3 lines 23-24, **the Doppler processor 18 detects a Doppler data that representing flowing fluid of the Vessel border**. Thus, the contour data **corresponds to the Doppler data**, and the contour **corresponds to the vessel border**).

As to claim 15, Sumanaweera teaches the flow acquisition system collects data using an imaging technique selected from the group consisting of: color flow (col. 4 line 52: color

Doppler image that representative of Doppler velocity), time domain correlation (col. 3 lines 30-32, the velocity, energy, or power of a fluid are correlated with time), speckle tracking(detecting vessels border), strain imaging (co1.5 line 64, imaging vessels), pulse wave Doppler, and continuous wave Doppler (col. 3 lines 6- 18, co1.4 line 53 Doppler velocity corresponds to continuous wave Doppler, and pulse wave Doppler corresponds to signal at radio frequency).

As to claim 16, Sumanaweera teaches the flow acquisition system uses multi-line beamforming (**Fig. 1 element 14, co1.3 lines 6-8**).

As to claim 17, Sumanaweera teaches the flow is periodically tracked (**co1.3 lines 7-10, the analog or digital circuits of beamforme generating a periodic waveforms based on the sampling rate of the converter. Thus, the transmitted wave forms has predetermined period**) and the limited region of the image containing the flow is automatically adjusted(**Fig. 3, col. 5 lines 29-30, the borders 48, 50 of the vessel 44 are detected automatically by the processor 22. The region of the image containing the flow corresponds to Vessel borders 48, 50**).

As to claim 18, Sumanaweera teaches region of flow (**col. 5 line 63-64, a vessels of an organ, such as the brachial artery**) is a region selected from the group consisting of a 3D pie slice, a cube, an arbitrary shape (**col. 5 line 62-63, heart, brachial artery**), and a collection of shapes (**col. 5 lines 65-66, heart or heart or other fluid filled or fluid surrounded organs**).

As to claim 19, Sumanaweera teaches the flow acquisition system includes a set of acquisition parameters (col. 5 lines 30-35, the method includes different embodiment for example in one embodiment a center of gravity of Doppler data is identified as an approximate center of the vessel or organ. A set of acquisition parameters correspond to approximate center of the vessel or organ) selected from the group consisting of b-mode line densities (col. 3 line 17-18, B- mode may be compressed or filtered. Adjusting a set of acquisition parameters corresponds to compressing or filtering), color flow line densities (col. 4 line 51-52, the color Doppler), pulse repetition frequency (col. 3 lines 6-10, the beamformer for generating a transmit wave, and output a radio frequency data. Adjusting a set of acquisition parameters corresponds to transform the signal into a radio frequency data, and the pulse repetition frequency corresponds to the radio frequency), and ensemble length.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The Prior art made of record

US Patent Pub. No. 6,475,149

The prior art made of record and not relied up on is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure

US Patent No. 6,491,636

US Patent No. 6,106,466

US Patent No. 6,500,125

US Patent Pub. No 2006/007430

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Mekonen Bekele whose telephone number is 571-270-3915. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday from 8:00AM to 5:50 PM Eastern Time. If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor AHMED SAMIR can be reached on (571)272-7413. The fax phone number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is 571-237-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished application is available through Privet PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866.217-919 (tool-free)

/MEKONEN BEKELE/
Examiner, Art Unit 2624
January 13, 2009

/Samir A. Ahmed/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624